## FY15 Year End <br> Student Performant Report

Greatest Areas of Need:
Progress of Highest Achieving Students
Achievement Gap

$$
\text { July 27, } 2015
$$

La Grange School District 105 Dashboard
Spring 2014－2015 District Goals Update

| Goal Deecription | Subject Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3 Year } \\ & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { Rolling Average } \end{aligned}$ | FY 2015 school Year Spring Actual | FY 2015 Benchmark District Spring Actual | 2014－2015 School Year Spining Targer | status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GOAL：Students will achieve high academic standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students achieve annual progress targets in reading and math． | 宽會會 | 65\％＊ | 71\％＊ | 59\％ |  | Notes |
|  |  | 62\％＊ | 62\％＊ | 56\％＊ |  | Notes |
| Students achieve district learning goals in all curricular aress <br> ＊Assessments in other curricular aress to be created |  | 63\％＊ | 64\％＊ | 50\％＊ | $72 \%^{*}$ <br> It is estumated that meeting this teget would place D105 in the top $10 \%$ of disticts taking the MAF assessment） | Notes |
|  |  | 63\％＊ | 61\％＊ | 54\％＊ | $72 \%{ }^{*}$ <br> （tis estrmated that meeting this teget would place D105 in <br> the top 10\％of distficts taking the MAF assessment） | Notes |

＊Percentage of students progressing and achieving at or above the national $50^{\mathrm{m}}$ percentile ranking on MAP（Measures of Academic Progress）Math and Reading

Not progressing toward target－top area of concern
District progressing but all targets are not met Progressing effectively

DASHBOARD LAST UPDATED：June 2015
DASHBOARD NEXT UPDATED：October 2014

| Goal Deecription | Subject Area | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { Year } \\ \text { Spring } \\ \text { Rolling Average } \end{gathered}$ | FY 2015 School Year Spring Actual | FY 2015 Benchmark District Spring Actual | 2014-2015 School Year Spring Targer | Statue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students make a succes sful transition to high school | 突 | N/A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prep }=47 \% \\ \text { Accl/Honors } \\ =53 \% \end{gathered}$ | N/A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prep }=50 \% \\ \text { Accl/Honors } \\ =50 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 든 | N/A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prep }=58 \% \\ \text { Accl/Honors } \\ =42 \% \end{gathered}$ | N/A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prep }=50 \% \\ \text { Accl/Honors } \\ =50 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | U | N/A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prep }=68 \% \\ \text { Accl/Honors } \\ =32 \% \end{gathered}$ | N/A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prep }=65 \% \\ \text { Accl/Honors } \\ =35 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |

*Percentage of students progressing and achieving at or above the national 50 percentile ranking on MAP (Measures of Academic Progress) Math and Reading

Not progressing toward target - top area of concern
District progressing but all targets are not met
Progressing effectively

DASHBOARD LAST UPDATED: June 2015
DASHBOARD NEXT UPDATED: October 2014

# Percentile Range Analysis - Key Points Progress - Math and Reading 

## Math

- Greatest progress seen in:
- 1-19 percentile range at $81 \%$
- 90+ percentile range at 76\%
- Overall, 72\% of students in 1-49 percentile ranges made annual progress
- Overall, 71\% of students in 50-99 percentile ranges made annual progress


## Reading

- Greatest progress seen in 20-49 percentile ranges at 72\%
- Overall, $71 \%$ of students in the 1-49 percentile ranges made annual progress
- Overall, 55\% of students in 50-99 percentile ranges are making annual progress
- FY16 - Critical focus on the annual growth of students in 90+ percentile range

These results reflect our greatest area of need: progress of our highest achieving students

## District 105 Reading Progress Fall-Spring FY14

Percentage of Students Meeting Annual Progress Target in Each Percentile Range


## District 105 Reading Progress Fall-Spring FY14 <br> Percentage of Students Meeting Annual Progress Target in <br> Each Percentile Range



## District 105 Math Progress Fall-Spring FY15

Percentage of Students Meeting Annual Progress Targets in Each Percentile Range


District 105 Math Progress Fall-Spring FY15
Percentage of Students Meeting Annual Progress Targets in Each Percentile Range


# FY15 District MAP Cohorts GAN: Achievement Gap 

## FY15 Spring Math and Reading Data
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\text { July 27, } 2015
$$

## District $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or above $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $\mathrm{n}=128$


$4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Low Income (54) and Hispanic (43) Cohorts Percentage at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on spring MAP.


District $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or above $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $\mathrm{n}=128$

$5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Low Income (56) and Hispanic (41) Cohorts -
Percentage at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on spring MAP.


## District $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort Percentage of students at or above $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile $\mathrm{n}=122$


$6^{\text {th }}$ Grade Low Income (48) and Hispanic (44) Cohorts Percentage at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on spring MAP.


District $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on spring MAP. $N=118$

$7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Low Income (50) and Hispanic (46) Cohorts Percentage at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on spring MAP.


District $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on spring MAP. $\mathrm{N}=122$

$8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Low Income (45) and Hispanic (42) Cohorts Percentage at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on spring MAP.


